

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/857,701	08/20/2001	Yeong-Chang Yoo	11023-1	1047	
75	90 08/29/2003			5	
National Research Council of Canada			EXAM	EXAMINER	
EG 12 Bldg M	perty Services Office 58	into MAMI	BELL, BI	RUCE F	
Montreal Road Ottawa, K1A	0R6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA		ATTIM A PROPOS	1746		
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/857,701	YOO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruce F. Bell	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated that the main that the period for reply will, by stated that the main that the period for reply will be searched by the Office later than three months after the main that the period for the main term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) I tute, cause the application to becom	by a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	· ·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			erits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 13-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-12 and 22</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 August 2001</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received ir	n Application No	
 Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	ge
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•		olication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has	s been received.	·
Attachment(s)	, ,		
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 09/857,701

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37
 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dahn et al (5858324).

Dahn et al disclose a lithium based compound useful for electrodes in a lithium ion battery. The compound is of the formula $Li_yCr_xMn_{2-x}O_{4+z}$ wherein $y\ge 2$, 0.25<x<2 and $z\ge 0$. See abstract. The patent shows that the lithium ion battery has two electrodes and an electrolyte and that a battery made with the above composition as the cathode has the ability to be recycled repeatedly. See col. 2, lines 11-38. Table 1 of the prior art batteries show that the volume of the cell (A³) is less that the applicants' sited range in the instant claims.

The prior art of Dahn et al anticipates the applicants instant invention as set forth above since the instantly claimed compound is encompassed by that of the prior art compound as set forth above, where the ranges of the prior art compound are shown to meet that applicants' instant invention compound formula as set forth.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,701

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dahns et al (5858324) in combination with Davidson et al (5370949).

Dahns et al is as disclosed above in the 35 USC 102 rejection.

Dahns does not teach the specifics to the Lithium ion battery cell.

Davidson et al show Lithium ion battery cells of a similar formula to that of the Dahns et al patent which incorporated anodes, lithium salts, organic solvents, electrolytes and separators having the same materials as that used in the applicants' instant invention. See col. 2, lines 27-57; col. 3, lines 1-22; col. 4, lines 27-68 and the claims.

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made because even though the prior art of Dahns et al did not site the particular materials for the electrolyte, organic solvents, lithium salt, etc., the prior art of Davidson et al shows that these materials are conventional in the art for use in Lithium ion batteries. Therefore, the prior art of Dahns et al in combination with Davidson et al render the applicants' instant invention as obvious for the reasons set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,701

Art Unit: 1746

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

6. Claims 6-12 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Claims 6-12 and 22 are allowable over the prior art of record.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach and/or suggest the specific compound

formulations as set forth by the applicants'. One having ordinary skill in the art would not

be able to ascertain each compound composition as set forth in the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bruce F. Bell whose telephone number is 703-308-2527.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 703-308-4333. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

BFB

August 23, 2003

Bruce F. Bell

Primary Examiner

Bruce Bel

Art Unit 1746